Children Education and Skills – Q3 2015/16

1. SUMMARY

1.1 DELIVERY UNIT DASHBOARD

| Financial | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Projected year-end revenue budget variance | Capital actual variance | | | | | |
| 0 | (3,629) | | | | | |

| | Performance | Commissioning Intentions |
|-------------------|-------------|--------------------------|
| Green rated | 70% (19) | 54% (7) |
| Green Amber rated | 7% (2) | 8% (1) |
| Red Amber rated | 4% (1) | 0% (0) |
| Red rated | 19% (5) | 0% (0) |

1.2 TOP ACHIEVEMENTS AND ACTIONS

Top Achievements

Primary attainment (Key Stage 2 at level 4+ in Reading, Writing and Maths) improved from 83% to 84% and remains just outside the top 10% of local authorities (ranked 17th out of 152 LAs)

The proportion of pupils with an SEN statement or an Education, Health and Care Plan attaining level 4+ in Reading, Writing and Maths improved by 3 percentage points and is above national.

Cambridge Education was approved as the new delivery partner for the Education and Skills service at full Council on 8 December 2015

| Key Challenges | Actions required |
|---|--|
| The OFSTED inspection framework puts schools at risk of an adverse judgement – requiring improvement or special measures. | Continued tracking of individual schools causing concern and additional support to reduce the risk of an adverse judgement and move them to good or outstanding. School improvement partnerships will help to consolidate the increased use of school to school support. |
| 2. Primary attendance remains below the national average. | Attendance conference held on 18 January; schools sharing good practice and now targeting authorised attendance, particularly appointments made for pupils during the school day. |
| The SEN Reforms involve a major transition from SEN statements to Education, Health and Care Plans (EHCPs). | Realignment of budgets and use of SEN Reform Grant to support statutory SEN functions in line with the SEN reforms. |

1.3 SUMMARY OF THE DELIVERY UNIT'S PERFORMANCE

1.3.1 Key Stage 2

The *validated* data release for attainment and progress in primary schools (KS2) was published in December 2015, which showed 'green' outturns for all but one primary related KPI:

- Attainment at level 4+ in Reading, Writing and Maths improved from 83% to 84%
- Improvements in the proportion of pupils who made expected progress in Reading (95%), Writing (95%), and Maths (93%).
- The proportion of pupils with an SEN statement or an Education, Health and Care Plan attaining level 4+ in Reading, Writing
 and Maths improved by 3 percentage points and is above national.
- The attainment of pupils eligible for free school meals in the last 6 years is above the national average.

However, the attainment gap between pupils eligible for free school meals in the last 6 years (FSM6) and non-FSM pupils in Reading, Writing and Maths widened from 11 percentage points to 12 percentage points.

1.3.2 Key Stage 4

The *provisional* data release for attainment at KS4 indicates:

- Attainment increased 1.5 percentage points whilst national results (state funded schools) fell by 0.5 percentage points.
- The proportion of pupils making at least expected progress in English fell by 1 percentage point, although it remains above the national average. The proportion of pupils making at least expected progress in Maths increased from 80% to 81%. These results are subject to change, however, once the validated release is published.

1.3.3 OfSTED judgements

- 92% of Barnet primary schools (on target, rated 'green') and 84% of secondary schools (below target, rated 'red') are good or outstanding.
- The proportion of nursery and PRU / Special schools rated good or outstanding remains at 100% (rated 'green').

1.3.4 Post-16 participation

- Participation rates for 17 year olds improved to 97.6% and showed 'green'
- The proportion of 16 to 18 year olds who are 'not in education, employment or training' (NEET) was 2.3%, which is on target. The NEETs figure tends to be highest in the autumn term of each academic year as young people are settling into their destinations.

1.3.5 **School attendance**

- Persistent absence rates at primary and secondary school are below national and rated 'green'.
- Secondary attendance decreased in the first 2 terms of the 2014-15 academic year but remains above national.
- Primary attendance remains below national.

1.3.6 Admissions

- The proportion of primary pupils offered one of their top 3 preferences of schools fell in 2015 from 91% to 88%, although every pupil was offered a school place on offer day.
- The continuing growth in demand for primary places means that it is increasingly difficult to meet parental preferences, despite the provision of additional places and new schools.

1.3.7 **SEND**

• 214 plans for 2014/15 (academic year) were complete by the end of quarter 3 against the July 2015 re-set target of 200. .

2. Performance

2.1 How the Delivery Unit is performing against its performance indicators

| | | | | RAG | | | Direction of Travel | | | No. of indicators expected to | |
|---------|-------------|----------------|--------------|------------|-------------------------|---------|-----------------------------|-----------|---------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| | Green | Green Amber | Red Amber | Red | Total RAG ratings | Monitor | Improving or the same | Worsening | No previous outturn | report this quarter | |
| Overall | 70% (19) | 7% (2) | 4% (1) | 19% (5) | 100% (27) | 13% (4) | 55% (17) | 35% (11) | 10% (3) | 31 | |

2.2a Performance Indicators that did not meet their target

Appendix A outlines the indicators which have met their target.

| Ref | Indicator description Measure of how successful the Council is towards meeting the strategic objectives as set out in the Corporate Plan | Type of indicator | Period Covered Timeframe data has been measured | Previous Result Previous result from the most relevant period | Target Achievement level expected | Numerator and Denominator Relevant number that achieved the level required by the indicator out of total for indicator | Result Most recent result of the indicator measurement | Target Variance A calculation of how far the outturn is from the target | Direction of Travel An assessment of whether performance has improved since the previous results | Benchmarking How performance compared to other councils |
|---------|--|-------------------|--|--|---|--|--|---|---|---|
| CES/S3 | Percentage of secondary schools rated as 'good' or better | Strategic | As at 4.1.2016 | 84.0% | 87.5% | N/A | 84.0% | 4.0% | Same | Outer London (84.1%), England (75%) |
| CES/S4 | The percentage of pupils in secondary schools judged as good or better by Ofsted | Strategic | As at 4.1.2016 | 88.2% | 89.6% | N/A | 88.2% | 1.6% | Same | Outer London (86.8%), England (78.5%) |
| CES/S11 | Attainment gap between pupils eligible for FSM6 and those not eligible for FSM6 at level 4+ in KS2 Reading, Writing and Maths | Strategic | Academic year 2014/15 | 11.0% | 10.0% | N/A | 12.0% | 20.0% | Worsening | National gap 15pp, London gap 10pp (Disadvantaged) |
| CES/S21 | The percentage of children offered one of their top three preferences of school (primary) | Strategic | National Offer Day 2015 | 90.9% | 92.0% | N/A | 88.4% | 3.9% | Worsening | London (89.4%); England (95%) |
| CES/C2 | Percentage making 3 levels of progress in English between KS2 and KS4 | Critical | Academic year 2014/15 | 80.8% | 83.6% | N/A | 81.8% | 2.2% | Improving | National 71.4%, London 75.9% |

| Ref | Indicator description Measure of how successful the Council is towards meeting the strategic objectives as set out in the Corporate Plan | Type of indicator | Period Covered Timeframe data has been measured | Previous Result Previous result from the most relevant period | Target Achievement level expected | Numerator and Denominator Relevant number that achieved the level required by the indicator out of total for indicator | Result Most recent result of the indicator measurement | Target Variance A calculation of how far the outturn is from the target | Direction of Travel An assessment of whether performance has improved since the previous results | Benchmarking How performance compared to other councils |
|--------|--|-------------------|--|--|---|--|--|---|---|---|
| CES/C5 | Percentage of looked after children attaining 5 A*-C Grades including English and Maths | Critical | Academic year 2014/15 | 15.6% | 20.6% | N/A | 8.0% | 61.2% | Worsening | National 2014 - 15% |
| CES/C7 | The percentage attendance levels at primary schools | Critical | | 95.9% | 96.0% | N/A | 95.8% | 0.2% | Worsening | England 96%; London 95.9% |
| CES/C8 | The percentage attendance levels at secondary schools | Critical | Autumn Term 2014 to Spring Term 2015 | 95.5% | 95.5% | N/A | 95.3% | 0.2% | Worsening | England 94.8%; London 95.2% |

2.2b Comments and proposed interventions for indicators which did not meet target

| Ref and title | Comments and Proposed Intervention |
|---|--|
| Percentage of secondary schools rated as 'good' or better | Level 1 – No Intervention Required Ongoing challenge and monitoring strategy in place |
| The percentage of pupils in secondary schools judged as good or better by Ofsted | Level 1 – No Intervention Required Ongoing challenge and monitoring strategy in place |
| Attainment gap between pupils eligible for FSM6 and those not eligible for FSM6 at level 4+ in KS2 Reading, Writing and Maths | Level 1 – No Intervention Required Narrowing the gap action plan is scheduled to investigate attainment gaps in more detail |
| The percentage of children offered one of their top | Level 1 – No Intervention Required The continuing growth in demand for primary places means that it is increasingly difficult to meet parental preferences, |

| Ref and title | Comments and Proposed Intervention |
|--|--|
| three | despite the provision of additional places and new schools. |
| preferences of school (primary) | |
| Percentage making 3 levels | |
| of progress in English | Level 1 – No Intervention Required |
| between | Provisional data at the moment. |
| KS2 and KS4 | |
| Percentage of looked after children attaining 5 A*-C | Level 1 – No Intervention Required |
| Grades including English and Maths | The current virtual school team has been in place from July 2015, and is developing monitoring processes to improve outcomes for young people. |
| The percentage attendance | Level 1 – No Intervention Required |
| levels at primary schools | A coordinated approach is being used to target primary attendance across education and skills and other partner organisations. An attendance conference is scheduled for 18 January 2016 to increase awareness of the issue. |
| The percentage attendance | Level 1 – No Intervention Required |
| levels at secondary schools | Secondary attendance remains well above the national average. This will be monitored by the School Improvement team. |
| | |

3. Commissioning Intentions

Theme committees have agreed the commissioning intentions for the council up to 2020, the tables below provide an update on the progress.

3.1 Overview of progress against Commissioning Intentions

| Green - Met | Green Amber - delayed, Low Impact | Red Amber - delayed, Medium Impact | Red - Risk of Not Delivering Or High Impact | Not Rated (Not due or N/A) | No. of Commissioning Intentions |
|-------------|---|---|--|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 54% (7) | 8% (1) | 0% (0) | 0% (0) | 38% (5) | 13 |

Section 3.2, below, outlines the Commitments which were due to be completed this quarter, but have not been completed to schedule. Appendix B of this document outlines the Commitments which were completed to schedule.

3.2 Commissioning Intentions

| RAG | Description |
|-------------|---------------------------------------|
| Green | Commitment Met |
| Green Amber | Commitment delayed, Low Impact |
| Red Amber | Commitment delayed, Medium Impact |
| Red | Risk of Not Delivering Or High Impact |

| Commissioning Intentions | Status | Comments |
|--|--------------------------------------|---|
| All eligible children and young people have a personalised, outcome focused SEN Statement or Education, Health and Care Plan that is regularly reviewed. | Green Amber - delayed, Low Impact | A new workflow is in place following the implementation of the SEN reforms. Progress is being regularly reviewed. |

4. Financial

4.1 Revenue

| | Variations | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|---|----------------------------------|
| Description | Original Budget | Budget V1 | Q3 Forecast | Variation | Comments | |
| | £000 | £000 | £000 | £000 | | % Variation of revised budget |
| Education & Skills Management | (180) | (530) | (521) | 8 | | -1.6% |
| Edu Partnership & Commercial | 265 | 470 | 199 | | Underspend in Catering £140k due to increased operating surplus. Underspend also in Pupil travel due to lower demand for services. There is also additional traded income due to increased trading activity with schools and staff vacancies in the Business Support team | -57.7% |
| Post 16 Education & Skills | 354 | 306 | 318 | | There are agency costs of £9k that can't be recovered against the young people working grant. The Participation & Placements Team are projecting an overspend of £4K which relates to unforeseen additional costs to the LB of Ealing for IT Services provided. | 4.1% |
| School Improvement | 819 | 834 | 830 | (4) | | -0.5% |
| SEND & Inclusion | 4,894 | 6,183 | 6,437 | | The over spend is largely due to two primary reasons. There is a forecast overspend on SEN transport contractor and escort agency costs of £33k. There is also a forecast staffing overspend of £221k for agency and consultants costs within the Referral & Assessment Team which is in the process of being restructured. | 4.1% |
| Total (excluding SDM) | 6,152 | 7,263 | 7,263 | 0 | | 0.0% |

4.2 Capital

| | 2015/16 Budget (including all slippages) | Additions/ (Deletions) | (Slippage) / Accelerated Spend | Proposed 2015/16 Budget | Spend to date (as per Integra) | Forecast to year-end | Variance from Approved Budget | % slippage of 2015/16 Budget (including OT & Q1 Adjustments) |
|--|--|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| | | £000 | £000 | £000 | £000 | £000 | £000 | % |
| Modernisation Primary & Secondary | 3,842 | 0 | 61 | 3,903 | 2,656 | 3,903 | 61 | 2% |
| Urgent Primary Places | | | | 0 | | | 0 | |
| Temporary Expansions - Allocated | 1,827 | 0 | (6) | 1,821 | 173 | 1,821 | (6) | |
| Millbrook Park (MHE) | 373 | 0 | 0 | 373 | 28 | 373 | 0 | |
| Orion Primary/ blessed Dominic | 459 | 0 | 0 | 459 | 230 | 459 | 0 | |
| Blessed Dominic/St James | 200 | 0 | 0 | 200 | 276 | 200 | 0 | |
| Moss hall Infants and Juniors | 84 | 0 | 0 | 84 | 13 | 84 | 0 | |
| Brunswick Park | 60 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 4 | 60 | 0 | 0% |
| Menorah Foundation | 1,830 | 0 | 0 | 1,830 | 1,348 | 1,830 | 0 | 0% |
| St Mary's and St Johns | 1,085 | 0 | 0 | 1,085 | 165 | 1,085 | 0 | |
| Martin Primary | 81 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 4 | 81 | 0 | 0% |
| Oakleigh School | 37 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 7 | 37 | 0 | 0% |
| Beis Yakov | 107 | 0 | 0 | 107 | 88 | 107 | 0 | 0% |
| St Joseph's RC Junior & St Joseph's RC Infant School | 1,986 | 0 | 0 | 1,986 | 1,257 | 1,986 | 0 | 0% |
| Monkfrith | 1,252 | 0 | 0 | 1,252 | 221 | 1,252 | 0 | 0% |
| Wren Academy | 4,826 | 0 | 0 | 4,826 | 4,959 | 4,826 | 0 | 0% |
| London Academy | 7,306 | 0 | (1,806) | 5,500 | 3,845 | 5,500 | (1,806) | -25% |
| Oak Hill Campus | 250 | 0 | 0 | 250 | 0 | 250 | 0 | 0% |
| East Barnet Schools Rebuild | 564 | 0 | (463) | 101 | 0 | 101 | (463) | -82% |
| Permanent Secondary Expansion Programme | 5,521 | 0 | (1,213) | 4,308 | 891 | 4,308 | (1,213) | -22% |
| Secondary Programme | 3,500 | 0 | 0 | 3,500 | 0 | 3,500 | 0 | 0% |
| SEN | 5,850 | 0 | 0 | 5,850 | 0 | 5,850 | 0 | 0% |
| Alternative Provision | 4,000 | 0 | 0 | 4,000 | 0 | 4,000 | 0 | 0% |
| Other Schemes | 1,703 | 0 | (202) | 1,501 | 33 | 1,501 | (202) | -12% |
| Children's Education and Skills | 46,741 | 0 | (3,629) | 43,112 | 16,198 | 43,112 | (3,629) | -8% |

5. RiskThe following is the 5 X 5 matrix 'heat map' highlighting the number of risks at a Directorate Level and where they are currently rated:

| | | | | | IMPACT | | |
|-------------|---|----------------|------------|-------|----------|-------|--------------|
| | | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| | | SCORE | Negligible | Minor | Moderate | Major | Catastrophic |
| PR | 5 | Almost Certain | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PROBABILITY | 4 | Likely | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Ή | 3 | Possible | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| | 2 | Unlikely | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 1 | Rare | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Risk Commentary for Delivery Unit:

The main risk relates to secondary pupil place planning: Education and Skills is regularly reviewing pupil projections and working with a range of partners to secure funding and commission additional capacity. The same process is being undertaken for primary places.

The implementation of the ADM is being regularly monitored in order to meet the MTFS savings, whilst the School Improvement Team's Monitoring and Challenge of Schools, and School Causing Concern policies are being used to minimise the risk of schools being graded Requires Improvement (RI) and supporting RI schools to become Good.

The following risk register lists those risks rated as 12 and above:

| Risk | Impact Probability Rating | | ng | Control Actions | Risk Status | Board Assurance (timing) | _ | et Assessr Probability | |
|---|---------------------------|------------|----------------------|---|----------------|--------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| DUEDSK0016 – Financial Secondary Pupil Place Planning - Demand for school places beyond the capacity of the Council to ensure sufficient places available to meet parental preferences. | | Major 4 | High 16 | Annual pupil projections; regular school organisation and place planning reviews. | Treat | Quarterly | Unlikely 2 | Moderate 3 | Medium Low 6 |
| DUEDSK0015 – Financial Risk of MTFS savings not being achieved due to Alternative Delivery Model not being in place in time to achieve savings required | | Major 4 | Medium High 12 | ADM project | Treat | Quarterly | Unlikely 4 | Major 2 | Medium High 8 |

| Risk | Current Assessment Impact Probability Rating | | | Control Actions | Risk Status | Board Assurance (timing) | | jet Assessi Probability | |
|---|---|------------|----------------------|--|----------------|--------------------------------|---------------|----------------------------|--------------------|
| in 2015/16. | | | | | | | | | |
| DUEDSK0002 – Financial Primary Pupil Place Planning - Demand for school places increases beyond the capacity of the Council to ensure sufficient places available to meet parental preferences. | | Major 4 | Medium High 12 | Annual pupil projections, regular school organisation and place planning reviews. Capital and Place Planning group involving schools and headteachers. | : | Quarterly | Unlikely 2 | Moderate 3 | Medium Low 6 |
| DUEDSK0005 – Reputational The number of schools that are judged by Ofsted to require improvement increases. | | Major 4 | Medium High 12 | Monitoring, support, challenge and intervention strategy. | Tolerate | Quarterly | Possible 2 | Minor 3 | Medium Low 6 |

7. Equalities

The annual Children & Young Peoples Profile has now been published and can be found here: http://www.barnet.gov.uk/downloads/download/360/profile_of_children_and_young_people_in_barnet. Outcome data for identified groups of pupils is contained in section 1.3 above.

8. Customer Experience

Key findings from the Residents Perception Survey for the Education & Skills Delivery Unit area:

- Concern for 'Standard of Education' has been declining since to 2004/05 (when it was 22%) and is in line London average at 13% now
- Residents' perception of Under 5's early years education has significantly increased over the last four years (26% in 2010/11 and 48% in Spring 2014) and is significantly above London (32%).

Appendix APerformance indicators which have met or exceeded their target.

| Ref | Indicator description Measure of how successful the Council is towards meeting the strategic objectives as set out in the Corporate Plan | Type of indicator | Period Covered Timefram e data has been measure d | Previous Result Previous result from the most relevant period | Target Achieveme nt level expected | Numerator and Denominato r Relevant number that achieved the level required by the indicator out of total for indicator | Result Most recent result of the indicator measurement | Target Variance A calculatio n of how far the outturn is from the target | Direction of Travel An assessmen t of whether performanc e has improved since the previous results | Benchmarking How performance compared to other councils |
|------------|--|-------------------|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|---|
| CES/ S1 | Percentage of primary schools rated as 'good' or better | Strategic | As at 4.1.2016 | 93.1% | 92.0% | N/A | 92.0% | 0.0% | Worsening | Outer London (88.2%), England (85.7%) |
| CES/ S2 | The percentage of pupils in primary schools judged as good or better by Ofsted | Strategic | As at 4.1.2016 | 93.3% | 92.0% | N/A | 92.5% | 0.5% | Worsening | Outer London (88.1%), England (84.8%) |
| CES/ S5 | Percentage of nursery schools rated as 'good' or better | Strategic | As at 4.1.2016 | 100% | 100% | N/A | 100% | 0.0% | Same | No benchmarking available |
| CES/ S6 | Percentage of special schools and PRUs rated as 'good' or better | Strategic | As at 4.1.2016 | 100% | 100% | N/A | 100% | 0.0% | Same | No benchmarking available |

| Ref | Indicator description Measure of how successful the Council is towards meeting the strategic objectives as set out in the Corporate Plan | Type of indicator | Period Covered Timefram e data has been measure d | Previous Result Previous result from the most relevant period | Target Achieveme nt level expected | Numerator and Denominato r Relevant number that achieved the level required by the indicator out of total for indicator | Result Most recent result of the indicator measurement | Target Variance A calculatio n of how far the outturn is from the target | Direction of Travel An assessmen t of whether performanc e has improved since the previous results | Benchmarking How performance compared to other councils |
|------------|--|----------------------|---|--|---|--|---|--|--|---|
| CES/ S7 | The percentage of primary pupils achieving Level 4 at the end of KS2 in Reading, Writing and Maths | Strategic | Academi c year 2014/15 | 83.0% | 83.5% | N/A | 84.0% | 0.6% | Improving | National (80%); London (84%) |
| CES/ S8 | The percentage of primary pupils achieving two levels progress in reading between key stages 1 and 2 | Strategic | Academi c year 2014/15 | 94.0% | 94.0% | N/A | 95.0% | 1.1% | Improving | National 91%, London 93% |
| CES/ S9 | The percentage of primary pupils achieving two levels progress in writing between key stages 1 and 2 | Strategic | | 94.0% | 94.5% | N/A | 95.0% | 0.5% | Improving | National 94%, London 96% |

| Ref | Indicator description Measure of how successful the Council is towards meeting the strategic objectives as set out in the Corporate Plan | Type of indicator | Period Covered Timefram e data has been measure d | Previous Result Previous result from the most relevant period | Target Achieveme nt level expected | Numerator and Denominato r Relevant number that achieved the level required by the indicator out of total for indicator | Result Most recent result of the indicator measurement | Target Variance A calculatio n of how far the outturn is from the target | Direction of Travel An assessmen t of whether performanc e has improved since the previous results | Benchmarking How performance compared to other councils |
|-------------|--|-------------------|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|---|
| CES/ S10 | The percentage of primary pupils achieving two levels progress in maths between key stages 1 and 2 | Strategic | Academi c year 2014/15 | 92.0% | 93.0% | N/A | 93.0% | 0.0% | Improving | National 90%, London 92% |
| CES/ S12 | % pupils with an EHCP or statement with level 4+ at KS2 in RWM | Strategic | Academi c year 2014/15 | 23.0% | 23.0% | N/A | 25.0% | 8.7% | Improving | National 16%; London 20% |
| CES/ S13 | Percentage achieving 5 or more A* to C GCSE (including English and Maths) | Strategic | Academi c year 2014/15 | 67.5% | 68.0% | N/A | 69.0% | 1.5% | Improving | National 56.3%, London 59.5% |
| CES/ S17 | Percentage of 17 year olds recorded in education and training | Strategic | Oct-Dec 2015 | 97.4% | 91.0% | N/A | 97.6% | 7.3% | Improving | No benchmarking comparator figures (e.g. London, National) until late July, however local data sources indicate the |

| Ref | Indicator description Measure of how successful the Council is towards meeting the strategic objectives as set out in the Corporate Plan | Type of indicator | Period Covered Timefram e data has been measure d | Previous Result Previous result from the most relevant period | Target Achieveme nt level expected | Numerator and Denominato r Relevant number that achieved the level required by the indicator out of total for indicator | Result Most recent result of the indicator measurement | Target Variance A calculatio n of how far the outturn is from the target | Direction of Travel An assessmen t of whether performanc e has improved since the previous results | Benchmarking How performance compared to other councils |
|-------------|--|----------------------|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | following results similar LAs - Ealing (93.4%), Hillingdon (91.9%), Hounslow (93.2%) |
| CES/ S18 | Percentage of young people who are not in education, employment or training (16 to 18 year olds) | Strategic | Oct-Dec 2015 | 1.9% | 2.3% | N/A | 2.3% | 0.0% | Worsening | No benchmarking comparator figures (e.g. London, National) until late July, however local data sources indicate the following results similar LAs - Ealing (3.2%), Hillingdon (3.1%), Hounslow (3.3%) |
| CES/ S19 | Persistent absentees as a percentage of all pupils in primary schools | Strategic | Autumn Term 2014 to Spring Term 2015 | 1.9% | 2.6% | N/A | 2.3% | 11.5% | Worsening | England 2.7%, London 2.8% |

| Ref | Indicator description Measure of how successful the Council is towards meeting the strategic objectives as set out in the Corporate Plan | Type of indicator | Period Covered Timefram e data has been measure d | Previous Result Previous result from the most relevant period | Target Achieveme nt level expected | Numerator and Denominato r Relevant number that achieved the level required by the indicator out of total for indicator | Result Most recent result of the indicator measurement | Target Variance A calculatio n of how far the outturn is from the target | Direction of Travel An assessmen t of whether performanc e has improved since the previous results | Benchmarking How performance compared to other councils |
|-------------|--|-------------------|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|---|
| CES/ S20 | Persistent absentees as a percentage of all pupils in secondary schools | Strategic | Autumn Term 2014 to Spring Term 2015 | 3.8% | 4.2% | N/A | 3.9% | 7.1% | Worsening | England 5.5%, London 4.6% |
| CES/ C1 | Percentage of pupils eligible for free school meals achieving expected levels of attainment at KS2 (Level 4+ in RWM) | Critical | Academi c year 2014/15 | 72.0% | 73.0% | N/A | 73.0% | 0.0% | Improving | National 66%, London 75% |
| CES/ C3 | Percentage making 3 levels of progress in Maths between KS2 and KS4 | Critical | Academi c year 2014/15 | 80.0% | 77.7% | N/A | 81.0% | 4.2% | Improving | National 67.8%, London 72.5% |
| CES/ C6 | KS5 Average Point Score (APS) per candidate | Critical | Academic year 2014/15 | 731.0 | 731 | N/A | 733.6 | 0.4% | Improving | National 700.3, London 671.8 |

| Ref | Indicator description Measure of how successful the Council is towards meeting the strategic objectives as set out in the Corporate Plan | Type of indicator | Period Covered Timefram e data has been measure d | Previous Result Previous result from the most relevant period | Target Achieveme nt level expected | Numerator and Denominato r Relevant number that achieved the level required by the indicator out of total for indicator | Result Most recent result of the indicator measurement | Target Variance A calculatio n of how far the outturn is from the target | Direction of Travel An assessmen t of whether performanc e has improved since the previous results | Benchmarking How performance compared to other councils |
|-------------|--|----------------------|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|---|
| CES/ C9 | The percentage of children who applied on time for a Reception place made an offer on national offer day | Critical | National Offer Day 2015 | 100.0% | 100.0% | N/A | 100.0% | 0.0% | Same | England (99.6%); London (99.2%) |
| CES/ C10 | Percentage of SEN statements and Learning Disability Assessments converted to EHC Plans in accordance with the council's Transition Plan | Critical | Autumn Term 2015 | n/a | 100.0% | N/A | n/a – 214 plans for 2014/15 (academic year) were complete by the end of quarter 3 against the July 2015 reset target of 200. For 2015/16 academic year (Sept-Dec) 7 transfers were completed of a full year target of 467. Due to seasonal variations in transfer rates, % completion does not reflect true progress towards target. | N/A | | No benchmarking data available |